MINUTES OF THE

SENATE GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS AND POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS STANDING COMMITTEE

Room 415, Utah State Capitol February 26, 2014

Members Present: Sen. Margaret Dayton, Chair

Sen. Luz Robles

Sen. Brian E. Shiozawa Sen. Daniel W. Thatcher Sen. Kevin T. Van Tassell

Members Excused: Sen. Lyle W. Hillyard

President Wayne L. Niederhauser

Staff Present: Rich North, Policy Analyst

Karen C. Allred, Committee Secretary

NOTE: A list of visitors and a copy of handouts are filed with the committee minutes.

Chair Dayton called the meeting to order at 4:18 p.m.

S.B. 179 Procurement Revisions (Sen. Jenkins)

Sen. Jenkins explained the bill to the committee.

Spoke to the bill: Kent Beers, Director of Purchasing, Utah Department of Administrative

Services

Spoke for the bill: LeGrande Bitters, Executive Director, Utah Association of Special

Districts

Roger Tew, Utah League of Cities and Towns

Renae Cowley, Foxley Pignonelli,

Alan Bachman, Assistant Attorney General, Division of Purchasing,

Attorney General's Office

MOTION: Sen. Van Tassell moved to replace the bill with 1st Sub. S.B. 179. The motion

passed unanimously with Sen. Robles and Sen. Shiozawa absent for the vote.

MOTION: Sen. Van Tassell moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. *Page 128, Line 3835:*

3835 (b) {Notwithstanding Subsection (1)(a), it} is not unlawful for a public

agency to {make} give, offer, promise, or pledge to give a

The motion passed unanimously with Sen. Robles and Sen. Shiozawa absent for the vote.

Spoke against the bill: Rich Thorn, President, CEO, Associated General Contractors of

Utah

Michael Smith, Executive Director, American Council of

Engineering Companies, Utah

Craig Peterson, American Council of Engineering Companies

MOTION: Sen. Van Tassell moved to the next item on the agenda. The motion passed unanimously.

The sponsor requested to speak to the bill.

Sen. Jenkins spoke to the bill.

At the Chairs request Rich Thorn, President, Chief Executive Officer, Associated General Contractors of Utah, spoke to the bill.

MOTION: Sen. Thatcher moved to pass the bill out favorably. Roll Call Vote. The motion passed with Sen. Dayton and Sen. Robles voting in opposition.

MOTION: Sen. Van Tassell moved to approve the minutes of the February 25, 2014 meeting. The motion passed unanimously

S.J.R. 15 Joint Resolution on Political Parties and Their Candidates (Sen. Jenkins)

MOTION: Sen. Van Tassell moved to replace the bill with 1st Sub. S.J.R. 15. The motion passed unanimously.

Sen. Jenkins explained the bill to the committee.

Spoke for the bill: James Humphreys, Media Public Relations, Protect Our Neighborhood

Elections

Skylor Burnside, Member, Utah First Political Issues Committee

Dalane England, Utah Eagle Forum

Fred Cox, Citizen Lynda Pipken, Citizen Senate Government Operations & Political Subdivisions Standing Committee February 26, 2014
Page 3

Spoke against the bill: Jenn Gonelly, President, League of Women Voters

MOTION: Sen. Thatcher moved to pass the bill out favorably. The motion passed with Sen. Robles voting in opposition.

1st Sub. H.B. 220 Land Use Amendments (G. Frorer)

MOTION: Sen. Van Tassell moved to amend the bill as follows:

- 1. *Page 1, Lines 19 through 21:*
 - 19 { requires a municipality and a county, if enacting an ordinance that imposes
 - 20 requirement or higher standard than is required by the land use chapters in state
 - 21 statute, to justify the stricter requirement or higher standard;
- 2. *Page 2, Line 34:*
 - 34 {10-9a-104, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2013, Chapter 309}
- 3. *Page 2, Line 39:*
 - 39 {17-27a-104, as last amended by Laws of Utah 2013, Chapter 309}
- 4. *Page 12, Line 363 through Page 13, Line 384:*
 - 363 {Section 2. Section 10-9a-104 is amended to read:
 - 364 10-9a-104. Stricter requirements.
- 365 (1) Except as provided in Subsection (2), a municipality may enact an ordinance
 - 366 imposing <u>a</u> stricter [requirements] <u>requirement</u> or higher [standards] <u>standard</u> than [are] is
- 367 required by this chapter[.], if the municipality:
 - 368 (a) prepares in writing a justification of why imposing a stricter requirement or higher
 - 369 standard is necessary;
 - 370 (b) includes the written justification under Subsection (1)(a) with each publication of
 - 371 the proposed or adopted ordinance being justified; and
- 372 (c) holds a public hearing on the written justification under Subsection (1)(a)
 - 373 concurrent with the public hearing on the ordinance being justified.
- 274 (2) A municipality may not impose stricter requirements or higher standards than are
 - 375 required by:
 - 376 (a) Section 10-9a-305; and
 - 377 (b) Section 10-9a-514.
- 378 (3) Before January 1, 2015, a municipality shall, for each ordinance in effect on May

	379 13, 2014, that imposes a stricter requirement or higher standard than is required by
	this chapter:
	380 (a) prepare in writing a justification of why a stricter requirement or higher
	standard is 381 necessary; and
	382 (b) include the written justification under Subsection (3)(a) with the ordinance
	being
	383 justified with each publication of the ordinance.
	Section $\{3\}$ $\underline{2}$. Section $10-9a-509$ is amended to read:
5.	Page 17, Line 494: 494 Section 44 <u>3</u> . Section 10-9a-608 is amended to read:
6.	Page 19, Line 565:
	565 Section $\{5\}$ $\underline{4}$. Section 10-9a-609 is amended to read:
7.	Page 20, Line 591:
	591 Section $\{ \underline{6} \}$ $\underline{5}$. Section 17-27a-103 is amended to read:
8.	Page 31, Lines 931 through 952:
	931 {Section 7. Section 17-27a-104 is amended to read:
	932 17-27a-104. Stricter requirements.
	933 (1) Except as provided in Subsection (2), a county may enact an ordinance imposing
	# <u># 934stricter [requirements] requirement or higher [standards] standard than [are] is</u>
	required by this
	935chapter[.], if the county:
	936 (a) prepares in writing a justification of why imposing a stricter requirement or
	<u>higher</u>
-	937 <u>standard is necessary;</u>
	938 (b) includes the written justification under Subsection (1)(a) with each publication of 939the proposed or adopted ordinance being justified; and
	940 (c) holds a public hearing on the written justification under Subsection (1)(a)
	941concurrent with the public hearing on the ordinance being justified.
	942 (2) A county may not impose stricter requirements or higher standards than are
	943required by:
•	944 (a) Section <u>17-27a-305</u> ; and
	945 (b) Section 17-27a-513.
	946 (3) Before January 1, 2015, a county shall, for each ordinance in effect on May 13, 9472014, that imposes a stricter requirement or higher standard than is required by this
	chapter:

Senate Government Operations & Political Subdivisions Standing Committee February 26, 2014

Page 5

948 (a) prepare in writing a justification of why a stricter requirement or higher standard is

949necessary; and

950 (b) include the written justification under Subsection (3)(a) with the ordinance being

951 justified with each publication of the ordinance.

952 Section $\{8\}$ <u>6</u> . Section 17-27a-508 is amended to read:

9. *Page 35, Line 1061:*

1061 Section $\{9\}$ 7. Section 17-27a-608 is amended to read:

10. Page 37, Line 1133:

Section $\{10\}$ Section 17-27a-609 is amended to read:

The motion passed unanimously.

Rep. Frorer explained the bill to the committee.

MOTION: Sen. Van Tassell moved to pass the bill out favorably. The motion passed unanimously.

1st Sub. H. B. 17 Interlocal Act Amendments (*J. Anderson*)

Rep. Anderson explained the bill to the committee.

Spoke to the bill: Van Christensen, Audit Director, State Auditor's Office

Lincoln Shurtz, Utah League of Cities and Towns

Adam Trupp, Association of Towns

MOTION: Sen. Van Tassell moved to pass the bill out favorably. The motion passed

unanimously with Sen. Shiozawa absent for the vote.

H.B. 238 Local Referendum Requirements Amendments (K. Powell)

Rep. Powell explained the bill to the committee.

MOTION: Sen. Van Tassell moved to pass the bill out favorably. The motion passed

unanimously.

Senate Government Operations & Political Subdivisions Standing Committee February 26, 2014
Page 6

H.B. 339 County Budget Amendments (J. Seelig)

Rep. Seelig explained the bill to the committee.

Spoke for the bill: Jeremy Keele, Senior Advisory, Salt Lake County

MOTION: Sen. Robles moved to pass the bill out favorably. The motion passed with Sen.

Thatcher voting in opposition.

MOTION: Sen. Van Tassell moved to adjourn. The motion passed unanimously.

Chair Dayton adjourned the meeting at 6:07 p.m.

Sen. Margaret Dayton, Chair